



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/643,116	/643,116 08/18/2003		Harry E. Emerson	2003-5	3531	
34587	7590	06/27/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HARRY	E. EMERS	SON	LIANG, REGINA			
35 OAKW SUITE 9	OOD VILI	LAGE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FLANDEI	RS, NJ 07	836		2674	•	
				DATE MAILED: 06/27/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summany	10/643,116	EMERSON, HARR	RY E.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Regina Liang	2674					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	dress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the preriod for reply specified above, the maximum statuto are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. 1ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of the ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this co					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 21 January 2005.						
·	_	☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the E. The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 August 2003</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ c n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in he priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	Stage				
Attachmen	t(s) , te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	· Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-092) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO or No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No	Summary (P10-413) b(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO)-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/643,116

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-10 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1-10 taken as a whole is directed merely to a computer software program recited as being a computer software element operating in an environment of a computer system as a whole and nothing more. Thus, taken as a whole, the scope of claims 1-10 amounts to merely a computer software program, without any computer-readable medium executable by a computer to realize the potential functionality of the program.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 5 are confusing since it is not understood how a computer software element comprise "means" which are physical elements.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,116

Art Unit: 2674

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 5, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bowie (US. PAT. NO. 5,856,786 hereinafter Bowie) in view of Avila (US. PAT. NO. 4,799,049) and Ogura et al (US. PAT. NO. 5,907,327 hereinafter Ogura).

As to claims 1, 5, 9, 10, Bowie discloses computer system comprising a computer keyboard with a CAPS LOCK key to activate a CAPS LOCK mode, the CAPS LOCK mode causes typed alphabetic characters on the display screen to be displayed in capital letters. Bowie does not disclose presenting a mouse pointer on a display screen of the computer, wherein the mouse pointer indicating the position where mouse events will take place, and the mouse pointer has one design when the computer system is not in the CAPS LOCK mode and the mouse pointer has a second design when the computer system is in the CAPS LOCK mode.

However, it is well known in the art that a computer display having a mouse pointer (cursor), the cursor indicating the position where the mouse events will take place (see Avila col. 1, lines 6-49 for example). It is also well known in the art that when a input mode of a input device is changed to a lock mode the cursor is also changed a shape which is different from the unlock mode cursor icon shape, for example see Ogura abstract, col. 6 lines 56-67, Figs. 3A, 3B. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the computer

Art Unit: 2674

system of Bowie to have the mouse pointer indicating the position where mouse events will take place as taught by Avila to provide a visual feedback indicator to the user and further to have the mouse pointer to be in one design when the computer system is not in the CAPS LOCK mode and the mouse pointer to be in a second design when the computer system is in the CAPS LOCK mode as taught by Ogura to visually distinguish the two modes to the user in an easily recognizable way.

8. Claims 2-4, 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bowie as modified by Avila and Ogura as applied to claims 1 and 5 above, and further in view of Iesaka (US PAT. PUB. 2003/0201971).

Bowie does not explicitly disclose the operation of the cursor display (software element) is implemented in an operating system, an application program, or an add-in module. However, it is old and well known in the art that the cursor display (software element) is implemented in an operating system, an application program, or an add-in module, for example see Iesaka section [0072]. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the computer system of Bowie to implement the operation of cursor display (software element) in an operating system, an application program, or an add-in module as is conventional in the art.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Regina Liang whose telephone number is (571) 272-7693. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8AM to 5:00PM.

Art Unit: 2674

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Edouard, can be reached on (571) 272-7603. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Regina Liang Primary Examiner Art Unit 2674

6/23/05